

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,726	10/30/2001	Jose Zayas-Rivera	ALPHA-0200	4495
75	90 05/15/2002			•
Patent Law Offices of Heath W. Hoglund 256 Eleanor Roosevelt San Juan, PR 00918			EXAMINER	
			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/016.726

Applicant(s)

Zayas-Rivera et al.

Examiner

Kevin E. Weddington

Art Unit

1614



-- The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____ 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) X This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-34 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) ______ 6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 7) 🗌 Claim(s) ______ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claims **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) \square All b) \square Some * c) \square None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 6) Other: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,726 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-34 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 14 and 33 are rendered indefinite and vague because the claims does not disclose who or what is the second active ingredient.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made

Application/Control Number: 10/016,726 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chastain et al. (B) in view of Chastain et al. (A).

Chastain et al. (B) teach perillyl aldehyde and perillyl alcohol as having anti-fungal activity. (See column 2, lines 8 and 9)

Claims 2-13 and 1-21 are rejected to because they depend upon a rejected.

The instant invention differs from the cited reference in that the cited reference does not teach the addition of a second active ingredient, such as perillyl alcohol is used as a fungicide and a bactericide. However, the secondary reference Chastain et al,. (A) teaches perillyl alcohol as possessing antibacterial activity too. Clearly, the combination of the two individual ant-fungal and anti-bacterial agents into a single composition to achieve the same results is clearly obvious to those skilled in the art. (See claims 22 and 23).

Claims 1-34 are not allowed.

The remaining reference listed on the enclosed PTO-892 is cited to show the state of the art.

Art Unit: 1614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner K. Weddington whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Kevin E. Weddington
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1614

K. Weddington

May 10, 2002